28

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

cause of action for waiting time penalties as to meal and rest periods and vacation

pay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff's remaining causes of action for unpaid off-the-clock overtime wages, wage statement violations, and waiting time penalties came on regularly for trial between November 28, 2017 and November 29, 2017 in Courtroom 6A of the United States District Court, Central District of California, located at 350 W. 1st Street, before the Honorable Philip S. Gutierrez, United States District Court Judge. Plaintiff was represented by Sean M. Novak of The Novak Law Firm, P.C. Defendant was represented by James A. Bowles and Erika A. Silverman of Hill, Farrer & Burrill LLP.

A jury of eight (8) persons was regularly empaneled and sworn. Witnesses were sworn and testified and documentary evidence was introduced and admitted into evidence. After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the jury was duly instructed by the Court and the cause was submitted to the jury. The jury deliberated and thereafter returned to the Court with their unanimous verdict as follows:

I. FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME WAGES

(1) Did Kevin Mays perform any overtime work while not clocked in between April 21, 2012 and May 10, 2013?

> ✓ Yes No

If your answer to question number 1 is "yes," then answer question number 2. If your answer to question number 1 is "no," stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

(2) Did Time Warner Cable, Inc. know, or should it have reasonably known, that Mays performed overtime work while not clocked in between April 21, 2012 and May 10, 2013?

28

1	
2	Yes
3	
4	If your answer to question number 2 is "yes," then answer question number
5	3. If your answer to question number 2 is "no," stop here, answer no further
6	questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
7	(3) Did Time Warner Cable, Inc. fail to pay Mays for his overtime work
8	that he performed while not clocked in between April 21, 2012 and May 10, 2013?
9	Yes No
10 11	=
12	If your answer to question number 3 is "yes," then answer question number
13	4. If your answer to question number 3 is "no," stop here, answer no further
14	questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
15	
16	(4) (a) Please state the number of overtime minutes Mays worked while
17	not clocked in from April 21, 2012 through May 10, 2013:
18	minutes
19	(b) What is the total amount of unpaid overtime wages defendant Time
20	Warner Cable, Inc. owes to Mays for the period from April 21, 2012 through May
21	10, 2013?
22	•
23	Φ
24	Please go to question number 5.
25	II. WAITING TIME PENALTIES
26	Please answer the below questions only if you answered "yes" to question
27	number 3. If you answered "no," to question number 1, 2, or 3, answer no further
	immoer 5. 19 you answered no, to question number 1, 2, or 5, answer no further

1	questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
2	(5) Did Time Warner Cable, Inc. fail to pay Mays all wages due to him on
3	the date that Mays' employment ended on May 10, 2013?
4	
5	YesNo
6	
7	If your answer to question number 5 is "yes," then answer question number
8	6. If your answer to question number 5 is "no," go to question number 7.
9	(6) Was Time Warner Cable, Inc.'s failure to pay Mays the wages owed to
10	him on May 10, 2013 willful?
11	Yes No
12 13	1es 10
	Carta annual an 7
14	Go to question number 7.
15	III. WAGE STATEMENT VIOLATIONS
16 17	Please answer the below questions only if you answered "yes" to question
18	number 3. If you answered "no" to question number 1, 2, or 3, answer no further
19	questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
20	(7) Did Mays' wage statements violate the requirements of California
21	Labor Code § 226(a) by failing to accurately state at least one of the following
22	items: (a) the amount of wages ow ed to Mays; (b) the amount of hours worked by
23	Mays; (c) Mays' hourly rates; and/or (d) the amount of hours worked by Mays at
24	each hourly rate?
25	Cuch hourry rule:
26	Yes No
27	
28	If your answer to question number 7 is "yes," then answer question number

1	8. If your answer to question number 7 is "no," stop here, answer no further
2	questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
3 4 5	(8) Were Time Warner Cable, Inc.'s wage statement violations knowing and intentional?
6	Yes No
7	
8	If your answer to question number 8 is "yes," then answer question number
9	9. If your answer to question number 8 is "no," stop here, answer no further
10	questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
11 12	(9) Was Mays injured from the inaccurate or incomplete wage statements?
13 14	Yes No
15 16 17 18	If your answer to question number 9 is "yes," then answer question number 10. If your answer to question number 9 is "no," stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
19	(10) During how many pay periods between April 21, 2014 and April 21,
20	2015 did Time Warner Cable, Inc. knowingly and intentionally violate the wage
21	statement requirements set forth in California Labor Code § 226(a)?
22	
23	pay periods.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	چ

Partial Summary judgment having been granted in favor of Defendant, and the jury having rendered a verdict in favor of Defendant on the remaining causes of action, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED AND DECREED** as follows:

- 1. That judgment be, and hereby is, entered in favor of the Defendant Time Warner Cable, Inc. and against Plaintiff Kevin Mays;
 - 2. That Plaintiff Kevin Mays shall take nothing;
 - 3. That Defendant Time Warner Cable, Inc. shall recover its costs.

DATED: 12/5/17

Philip S. Gutierrez United States District Judge

JU 1 &

HFB 1847331.1 A5431062